SARC 2024-25 School Accountability Report Card
Published in 2025-26

# Los Amigos High School

16566 Newhope Street Fountain Valley, CA 92708 ▪ losamigoshs.com
Todd Nirk, Principal ▪ tnirk@ggusd.us ▪ (714) 663-6288
Grades 9-12 ▪ CDS Code 30-66522-3033933

## Garden Grove Unified School District

10331 Stanford Avenue Garden Grove, CA 92840 ▪ www.ggusd.us
Gabriela Mafi, Ed.D., Superintendent ▪ gmafi@ggusd.us ▪ (714) 663-6000

## School Description

Los Amigos High School is one of nearly 70 schools in the Garden Grove Unified School District and serves students in grades 9-12 from the cities of Santa Ana, Garden Grove and Fountain Valley. Strong leadership is essential in a quality school, and is provided at Los Amigos High School by Todd Nirk, the principal, a professional educator for 28 years. He was appointed principal of Los Amigos High School in 2023.

## Parental Involvement

There are many opportunities in the district for parental involvement through participation in parent support organizations, booster clubs, school site councils and district-level advisory committees.

Parents at the school participate in activities such as back-to-school night and open-house, Latinos Unidos Night, monthly parent education seminars, the School Site Council and English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). Through our strong parent education programs, parents are empowered to provide valuable feedback regarding resources for parent education and how we can empower our local communities. Please see the school website for the exact days and times; they are subject to change. We offer a monthly parent series, which includes the topics of attendance, social media safety, drug awareness, A-G course requirements for college admission, English redesignation and college financial aid.

For more information on how to become involved at the school, please contact Lucy Silva or Laura Gomes, PTO co-presidents, at (714) 663-6288.

## School Safety

Maintaining a safe and orderly environment is essential to learning. All schools in the district are closed campuses, and visitors must immediately register in the school office before entering the campus. School access is monitored by administrators, teachers, counselors and campus safety assistants.

All schools operate employee safety committees and maintain comprehensive school safety plans that are reviewed and updated annually at the beginning of the school year. Schools had until November 2025 to review and update their plans. The safety plans include elements such as emergency plans and procedures, Department of Homeland Security alert precautions, school rules and school dress codes.

School safety committees, consisting of certificated and classified staff, meet to address safety issues for students and employees. Earthquake safety procedures are distributed to all students and parents at the beginning of the school year. Fire drills are conducted monthly at each elementary school, no fewer than four times per year at each intermediate school and at least twice a year at each high school. Drop drills are held quarterly at each elementary school and at least once each semester at intermediate and high schools. All schools conduct one lockdown exercise each year.

The district has adopted the Standard Response Protocol from the "I Love U Guys" Foundation to streamline terminology and protocols used in emergency situations. Standard Response Protocol includes four clearly-defined actions to take in an emergency situation including Secure, Lockdown, Evacuate and Shelter—each with specific actions for students and adults to take.

In the spring of the 2024–25 school year, the district distributed emergency school lockdown kits, ensuring that every classroom is equipped to manage situations that may require students and staff to remain safely confined for extended periods.

The district has expanded the placement of Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs) to all remaining elementary school sites and other district facilities that previously did not have a unit. This initiative enhances our preparedness for emergency situations, ensuring that staff and students have timely access to life-saving equipment in the event of a cardiac emergency. The addition of the AEDs reflects the district's ongoing commitment to safety and proactive emergency response planning.

As part of the district's ongoing commitment to safety, a districtwide rollout of SB 553—Workplace Violence Prevention Plan training—was conducted. This training, approximately six minutes in duration, is designed to educate all staff on strategies to prevent workplace violence and to ensure awareness of proper reporting procedures. The training was integrated into our annual notification and trainings requirements, reinforcing the district's proactive approach to maintaining a safe and secure working environment for all employees.

## School Accountability Report Card

In accordance with state and federal requirements, the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is put forth annually by all public schools as a tool for parents and interested parties to stay informed of the school's progress, test scores and achievements.

## District Mission Statement

To ensure student success, we will provide a rigorous and supportive academic experience that motivates all learners to meet high expectations.

## District Vision Statement

We are committed to preparing all students to be successful and responsible citizens who contribute and thrive in a diverse society.

## Governing Board

Lan Quoc Nguyen, President

Bob Harden, Vice President

Teri Rocco, Trustee Member

Dina Nugyen, Trustee Member

Walter Muneton, Trustee Member

## Enrollment by Student Group

The total enrollment at the school was 1,430 students for the 2024-25 school year. The pie chart displays the percentage of students enrolled in each group.

### Demographics (2024-25 School Year)




## Class Size Distribution

The bar graph displays the three-year data for average class size, and the table displays the three-year data for the number of classrooms by size. The number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### Average Class Size (Three-Year Data)




### Number of Classrooms by Size (Three-Year Data)

| Subject | 2022-23 | | | 2023-24 | | | 2024-25 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of Students | | | | | | | | |
| | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ | 1-22 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| **English language arts** | 36 | 35 | 10 | 42 | 31 | 6 | 30 | 25 | 14 |
| **Mathematics** | 12 | 37 | 7 | 12 | 36 | 3 | 15 | 32 | 6 |
| **Science** | 12 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 6 |
| **Social science** | 10 | 31 | 6 | 11 | 23 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 17 |

## Enrollment by Student Group

| Demographics | |
|---|---|
| **2024-25 School Year** | |
| **Female** | 45.30% |
| **Male** | 54.70% |
| **Non-Binary** | 0.00% |
| **English Learners** | 33.00% |
| **Foster Youth** | 0.80% |
| **Homeless** | 2.60% |
| **Migrant** | 0.10% |
| **Socioeconomically Disadvantaged** | 93.60% |
| **Students with Disabilities** | 18.60% |

## Enrollment by Grade

The bar graph displays the total number of students enrolled in each grade for the 2024-25 school year.

### Enrollment by Grade



## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table shows the school, district, and state suspension and expulsion rates collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Note: Students are only counted one time, regardless of the number of suspensions.

### Suspension and Expulsion Rates (Three-Year Data)

| | Los Amigos HS | | | Garden Grove USD | | | California | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| **Suspension rates** | 8.70% | 6.70% | 5.90% | 3.30% | 2.50% | 2.70% | 3.60% | 3.30% | 2.90% |
| **Expulsion rates** | 0.10% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.10% | 0.10% | 0.10% |

## Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

### Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group (2024-25 School Year)

| Student Group | Suspensions Rate | Expulsions Rate |
|---|---|---|
| **All Students** | 5.90% | 0.00% |
| **Female** | 4.70% | 0.00% |
| **Male** | 6.90% | 0.00% |
| **Non-Binary** | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **American Indian or Alaska Native** | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **Asian** | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **Black or African American** | 7.10% | 0.00% |
| **Filipino** | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **Hispanic or Latino** | 6.90% | 0.00% |
| **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **Two or More Races** | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **White** | 4.20% | 0.00% |
| **English Learners** | 8.60% | 0.00% |
| **Foster Youth** | 25.00% | 0.00% |
| **Homeless** | 3.60% | 0.00% |
| **Socioeconomically Disadvantaged** | 6.10% | 0.00% |
| **Students Receiving Migrant Education Services** | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **Students with Disabilities** | 11.90% | 0.00% |

## Admission Requirements for the University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU)

Admission requirements for the UC follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information website at https://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu.

Eligibility for admission to the CSU is determined by three factors: (1) Specific high school courses, (2) Grades in specified courses and test scores, and (3) Graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information, see the CSU website at https://www2.calstate.edu.

## Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

The table displays the percentage of high school pupils enrolled in courses required for University of California/California State University admission during the 2024–25 school year; and the percentage of graduates who completed all courses required for UC/CSU admission during the 2023–24 school year. For more detailed information, visit http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest.

### UC/CSU Admission

| Los Amigos HS | |
|---|---|
| **2023-24 and 2024-25 School Years** | |
| **Percentage of students enrolled in courses required for UC/CSU admission in 2024-25** | 98.74% |
| **Percentage of graduates who completed all courses required for UC/CSU admission in 2023-24** | 60.29% |

## California Physical Fitness Test

Each spring, all students in grades 5, 7 and 9 are required to participate in the California Physical Fitness Test (PFT). The Fitnessgram is the designated PFT for students in California public schools put forth by the State Board of Education. Encouraging and assisting students in establishing lifelong habits of regular physical activity is the primary goal of the Fitnessgram. The table shows the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components for the most recent testing period. For more detailed information on the California PFT, please visit www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf.

### California Physical Fitness Test (2024-25 School Year)

| Percentage of Students Participating In Each Of The Five Fitness Components | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Grade | Component 1: Aerobic Capacity | Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance | Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility | Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance | Component 5: Flexibility |
| 9 | 95.41% | 95.05% | 85.51% | 93.99% | 86.57% |

## Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

### Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group (2024-25 School Year)

| Student Group | Cumulative Enrollment | Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment | Chronic Absenteeism Count | Chronic Absenteeism Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| All Students | 1,498 | 1,474 | 286 | 19.40% |
| Female | 687 | 676 | 144 | 21.30% |
| Male | 811 | 798 | 142 | 17.80% |
| Non-Binary | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| Asian | 190 | 190 | 9 | 4.70% |
| Black or African American | 14 | 14 | 3 | 21.40% |
| Filipino | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1,245 | 1,222 | 263 | 21.50% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| Two or More Races | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| White | 24 | 24 | 7 | 29.20% |
| English Learners | 513 | 503 | 127 | 25.20% |
| Foster Youth | 12 | 12 | 5 | 41.70% |
| Homeless | 55 | 55 | 18 | 32.70% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 1,401 | 1,380 | 275 | 19.90% |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| Students with Disabilities | 270 | 269 | 81 | 30.10% |

❖ To protect student privacy, data is not shown when the student population is ten or fewer.

## Professional Development

GGUSD's professional-development program centers on research-based effective instruction with embedded strategies to scaffold and differentiate for diverse learners. Teachers are supported in their implementation of effective instruction through extensive training and targeted in-class support. The use of student-achievement data also assists in providing clear goals and expectations for planning in-services for teachers and paraprofessionals.

Intensive workshops are conducted in late August during the week before school starts to provide teachers with new knowledge and enhanced skills for the upcoming school year, with an emphasis on the strategies to support language learning in mathematics, English language arts and content areas. Staff-development opportunities are also offered after school and by release time throughout the school year to provide in-class demonstrations as well as opportunities to understand the standards and across content areas. Additionally, one non-student day is set aside each year for staff development for all high school teachers in the district. Teachers collaborate in grade level and course-alike teams throughout the school year to plan lessons, analyze student achievement data and student work to ensure a high quality and rigorous academic program with high student expectations.

New teachers are provided an extensive new teacher training program. Classroom management, the district's base program, report cards, parent-teacher conferences and research-based strategy instruction are just a few of the subjects of training for new teachers.

### Professional Development Days

| Number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement | |
|---|---|
| 2023-24 | At least four days before the school year and one day during the school year for HS only |
| 2024-25 | At least four days before the school year and one day during the school year for HS only |
| 2025-26 | At least four days before the school year and one day during the school year for HS only |

## Statewide Assessments

**Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes assessments for English language arts/literacy [ELA], mathematics, and science for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessment [CAA]. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAA. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core Standards [CCSS] or California Next Generation Science Standards [CA NGSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade 10, 11 or 12).

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standard on the CAASPP—California Science Test (CAST) and the California Alternate Assessment for Science (CAA for Science) for grades 5, 8 and once in high school (i.e., grade 10, 11 or 12).

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard (Two-Year Data)

| | Los Amigos HS | | Garden Grove USD | | California | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Subject** | **23-24** | **24-25** | **23-24** | **24-25** | **23-24** | **24-25** |
| **Science** | 22.64% | 29.22% | 38.27% | 40.82% | 30.73% | 32.33% |

## CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

The table below shows the percent of students meeting or exceeding the State standards on the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) for English language arts/literacy (ELA) and mathematics for grades 3-8 and 11.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard (Two-Year Data)

| | Los Amigos HS | | Garden Grove USD | | California | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Subject** | **23-24** | **24-25** | **23-24** | **24-25** | **23-24** | **24-25** |
| **English language arts/literacy** | 58% | 62% | 59% | 59% | 46% | 48% |
| **Mathematics** | 28% | 29% | 48% | 50% | 34% | 37% |

## CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Science, English Language Arts and Mathematics

The tables on the following pages display the percentage of students that met or exceeded state standards in science, English language arts/literacy and mathematics for the school by student groups.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not. However, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Science (high school)

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard (2024-25 School Year)

**Science**

| Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percentage Tested | Percentage Not Tested | Percentage Met or Exceeded |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **All students** | 741 | 722 | 97.44% | 2.56% | 29.22% |
| **Female** | 342 | 336 | 98.25% | 1.75% | 26.49% |
| **Male** | 399 | 386 | 96.74% | 3.26% | 31.61% |
| **American Indian or Alaska Native** | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| **Asian** | 107 | 103 | 96.26% | 3.74% | 60.19% |
| **Black or African American** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Filipino** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Hispanic or Latino** | 604 | 590 | 97.68% | 2.32% | 23.56% |
| **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Two or More Races** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **White** | 14 | 14 | 100.00% | 0.00% | 28.57% |
| **English Learners** | 224 | 208 | 92.86% | 7.14% | 3.85% |
| **Foster Youth** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Homeless** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Military** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Socioeconomically Disadvantaged** | 515 | 506 | 98.25% | 1.75% | 28.06% |
| **Students Receiving Migrant Education services** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Students with Disabilities** | 141 | 139 | 98.58% | 1.42% | 7.91% |

❖ To protect student privacy, data is not shown when the selected student population is ten or fewer.

## CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: English Language Arts (grade 11)

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard (2024-25 School Year)

**English Language Arts**

| Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percentage Tested | Percentage Not Tested | Percentage Met or Exceeded |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **All students** | 367 | 362 | 98.64% | 1.36% | 62.15% |
| **Female** | 167 | 166 | 99.40% | 0.60% | 66.87% |
| **Male** | 200 | 196 | 98.00% | 2.00% | 58.16% |
| **American Indian or Alaska Native** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Asian** | 50 | 49 | 98.00% | 2.00% | 77.55% |
| **Black or African American** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Filipino** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Hispanic or Latino** | 304 | 300 | 98.68% | 1.32% | 59.67% |
| **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Two or More Races** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **White** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **English Learners** | 109 | 106 | 97.25% | 2.75% | 21.70% |
| **Foster Youth** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Homeless** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Military** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Socioeconomically Disadvantaged** | 246 | 243 | 98.78% | 1.22% | 60.49% |
| **Students Receiving Migrant Education services** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Students with Disabilities** | 65 | 64 | 98.46% | 1.54% | 23.44% |

❖ To protect student privacy, data is not shown when the selected student population is ten or fewer.

## CAASPP Test Results by Student Group: Mathematics (grade 11)

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding State Standard (2024-25 School Year)

**Mathematics**

| Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percentage Tested | Percentage Not Tested | Percentage Met or Exceeded |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **All students** | 367 | 350 | 95.37% | 4.63% | 29.14% |
| **Female** | 167 | 162 | 97.01% | 2.99% | 27.78% |
| **Male** | 200 | 188 | 94.00% | 6.00% | 30.32% |
| **American Indian or Alaska Native** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Asian** | 50 | 49 | 98.00% | 2.00% | 63.27% |
| **Black or African American** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Filipino** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Hispanic or Latino** | 304 | 289 | 95.07% | 4.93% | 23.18% |
| **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Two or More Races** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **White** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **English Learners** | 109 | 102 | 93.58% | 6.42% | 4.90% |
| **Foster Youth** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Homeless** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Military** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Socioeconomically Disadvantaged** | 246 | 234 | 95.12% | 4.88% | 29.49% |
| **Students Receiving Migrant Education services** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Students with Disabilities** | 65 | 60 | 92.31% | 7.69% | 3.33% |

❖ To protect student privacy, data is not shown when the selected student population is ten or fewer.

## Career Technical Education Programs

Career and Technical Education (CTE) in Garden Grove Unified School District aligns with California's CTE Model Curriculum Standards, Standards for Career Ready Practice, Common Core State Standards and Next Generation Science Standards. Programs of study prepare students for postsecondary success in regional emerging and priority sectors and connect core academic content with real-world applications.

During the 2024–25 school year, GGUSD offered 198 CTE courses across grades 7–12, with 5,883 students participating in at least one CTE course. Of the district's 12th-grade students, 918 completed a CTE pathway, with 99.6% earning a high school diploma. Approximately 23% of all CTE courses were sequenced or articulated with local community colleges, and dual enrollment opportunities were available in sectors such as automotive, biotechnology, business, cybersecurity, digital media arts, education, hospitality, engineering, manufacturing, and patient care, through College and Career Access Pathway (CCAP) agreements with three community college districts.

GGUSD's comprehensive CTE program ensures that students can pursue both college preparatory and technical coursework—with the majority of CTE courses meeting University of California A–G subject requirements—while exploring career interests and earning early college credit. Dual enrollment and articulated programs provide students the opportunity to earn both high school and college credit in courses aligned to high-demand regional industries.

CTE pathways prepare students for high-skill, high-wage, and high-demand fields, with programs spanning Arts, Media, and Entertainment; Business and Finance; Education, Child Development and Family Services; Engineering and Architecture; Fashion and Interior Design; Health Science and Medical Technology; Information and Communication Technology; Manufacturing and Product Development; Public Services; and Transportation.

All CTE administrators, instructors, counselors, and classified support staff are employees of Garden Grove USD. The district remains an active member of the Orange County (OC) Pathways consortium, which includes 14 K–12 districts and 14 higher education partners, and continues its collaboration with the Orange County Department of Education's CTEp program for articulation, curriculum design, student services, and professional development. This partnership supports a system of shared resources that enhances curriculum development, work-based learning, professional training, and student opportunities such as regional competitions, field trips, and industry experiences.

CTE courses are taught by credentialed instructors with professional experience in their respective industry sectors, including both single-subject and Designated Subjects CTE credential holders. Embedded academic standards in English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies strengthen core learning and promote STEM integration across CTE disciplines. GGUSD also offers University of California Curriculum Integration (UCCI) courses, such as Biology and Community Health, and continues to expand its use of project-based, academy-style learning models to connect rigorous academics with authentic, hands-on applications.

CTE pathways are developed based on regional labor market data and college and career alignment, with industry-informed programs such as Project Lead the Way (PLTW) in engineering and biomedical sciences, code.org computer science curricula, and C-STEM robotics integration.

Programs are offered throughout the school year—including before and after school—and during summer. Each summer, GGUSD offers a Professional Internship Program, providing work-based learning experiences that connect classroom study with authentic workplace practice. In summer 2025, the program had 93 student participants in 2024, with students receiving professional mentoring, employability training, and scholarship opportunities through partnerships with the Garden Grove Chamber of Commerce, Orange County Department of Education (OCDE), and United Way Orange County.

All CTE teachers receive ongoing professional development in differentiated instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners, including English learners and students with disabilities. CTE teachers also serve on Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams and integrate accommodations and modifications into their instructional practices. The hands-on, collaborative nature of CTE supports multiple learning styles, fostering creativity, critical thinking, and 21st-century employability skills.

CTE program data indicate that students who complete CTE pathways are more likely to graduate from high school and pursue postsecondary education. Two-thirds of GGUSD seniors completing two or more CTE courses progress to college or advanced training programs. Students also earn industry-recognized certifications such as ServSafe Food Handler, OSHA 10-Hour, BLS/CPR, Adobe, CompTIA, and Microsoft Office Specialist (MOS). End-of-year surveys continue to show that the majority of students report CTE courses helped them identify a college major of interest, clarify career goals, and understand the value of certifications and higher education.

The GGUSD CTE Department maintains 125 active partnerships with regional employers, community organizations, and higher education institutions. Annual stakeholder engagement meetings include representatives from:

- Secondary faculty, counselors, administrators, and support staff
- Postsecondary faculty, counselors, and administrators
- Representatives of special populations
- Local workforce development and economic agencies
- Business and industry partners
- Parents, students, and community organizations

*Continued on sidebar*

## Advanced Placement Courses

The following is a list of Advanced Placement (AP) courses offered where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

| Advanced Placement Courses | |
|---|---|
| **2024-25 School Year** | |
| **Percentage of students enrolled in AP courses** | 25.30% |
| **Number of AP courses offered at the school** | 26 |
| **Number of AP Courses Offered** | |
| **Computer science** | 0 |
| **English** | 5 |
| **Fine and performing arts** | 3 |
| **Foreign language** | 3 |
| **Mathematics** | 4 |
| **Science** | 3 |
| **Social science** | 8 |

## Career Technical Education Programs

*Continued from left*

- Representatives of local Indian tribes and tribal organizations

The district's Director of Career and Technical Education leads these collaborative efforts and ensures that stakeholder input informs program improvement, course development, and equitable access to high-quality CTE experiences for all students.

## Graduation and Dropout Rates

This table displays the graduation and dropout rates for the most recent three-year period for which data is available. The four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate is the number of students who graduate in four years with a regular high school diploma divided by the number of students who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class. From the beginning of grade 9 (or the earliest high school grade), students who are entering that grade for the first time form a cohort that is "adjusted" by adding any students who subsequently transfer into the cohort and subtracting any students who subsequently transfer out.

### Graduation and Dropout Rates (Three-Year Data)

| | Graduation Rate | | | Dropout Rate | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 |
| **Los Amigos HS** | 88.70% | 92.50% | 90.70% | 7.00% | 6.10% | 7.10% |
| **Garden Grove USD** | 92.60% | 93.10% | 92.30% | 5.30% | 5.50% | 6.0% |
| **California** | 86.20% | 86.40% | 87.50% | 8.20% | 8.90% | 8.0% |

## Graduation Rate by Student Group (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

### Graduation Rate by Student Group (2024-25 School Year)

| Student Group | Number of Students in Cohort | Number of Cohort Graduates | Cohort Graduation Rate |
|---|---|---|---|
| **All Students** | 378 | 343 | 90.70% |
| **Female** | 176 | 166 | 94.30% |
| **Male** | 202 | 177 | 87.60% |
| **Non-Binary** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **American Indian or Alaska Native** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Asian** | 57 | 50 | 87.70% |
| **Black or African American** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Filipino** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Hispanic or Latino** | 305 | 278 | 91.10% |
| **Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Two or More Races** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **White** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **English Learners** | 162 | 139 | 85.80% |
| **Foster Youth** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Homeless** | 32 | 23 | 71.90% |
| **Socioeconomically Disadvantaged** | 367 | 336 | 91.60% |
| **Students Receiving Migrant Education Services** | ❖ | ❖ | ❖ |
| **Students with Disabilities** | 81 | 67 | 82.70% |

For information on the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR), visit the CDE Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/acgrinfo.asp.

❖ To protect student privacy, data is not shown when the selected student population is ten or fewer.

## Career Technical Education Participation

This table displays information about participation in the school's Career Technical Education (CTE) programs.

### Career Technical Education Data

| Los Amigos HS | |
|---|---|
| **2024-25 Participation** | |
| **Number of pupils participating in a CTE program** | 602 |
| **Percentage of pupils who completed a CTE program and earned a high school diploma** | 99% |
| **Percentage of CTE courses that are sequenced or articulated between a school and institutions of postsecondary education** | 36% |

## Career Technical Education Program Courses

Listed below are the CTE and ROP classes offered at Los Amigos High School.

- Business Management: Application of Business Technology
- Design, Visual, and Media Arts: Art of Digital Photography 1 & 2, Art of Graphic Design, Digital Media Honors, Multimedia Honors, Yearbook Digital Media Communication
- Engineering Design: Intro to Engineering Design, Principles of Engineering
- Health Science and Medical Technology: Medical Core, Clinical Medical Assistant
- Hospitality and Culinary Arts: Culinary Arts, Professional Baking
- ICT and Computer Science: Exploring Computer Science, AP CS Principles, AP CS A
- Transportation: Automotive Maintenance and Light Repair 1 & 2, Auto Performance and Customization 1

## Textbooks and Instructional Materials

District K-12 textbooks in the subjects of English language arts, mathematics, history/social science, health, foreign language, visual and performing arts and science have been adopted from those approved by the State Board of Education. The Garden Grove Unified School District Board of Education has adopted district textbooks in the subjects listed below. K-12 textbooks for mathematics, history/social science, science, English language arts (including reading) and world language are aligned with state-adopted content standards and state or national frameworks following the state adoption schedule.

All GGUSD students, including all English learners, have textbooks and instructional materials, including science laboratory equipment at the 9-12 level, that are current and in good condition in accordance with Education Code requirements. All textbooks and instructional materials are provided in sufficient quantities for each student for use in class and to take home.

### Textbooks and Instructional Materials List (2025-26 School Year)

| Subject | Textbook | Adopted |
|---|---|---|
| **English language arts** | CA Collections, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt | 2016-17 |
| **Math: Algebra 1** | McGraw-Hill | 2015-16 |
| **Math: Algebra 2** | McGraw-Hill | 2015-16 |
| **Math: Algebra 2/ trigonometry** | Cengage Learning | 2015-16 |
| **Math: Advanced algebra/trigonometry** | Wiley Publishing | 2015-16 |
| **Math: All other courses** | Statistics: Pearson; Pre-Calculus: Cengage Learning; AP Calculus: Briggs, Cochran, et. al. | 2015-16 |
| **History/social science** | Savvas (formally Pearson) | 2019-20 |
| **Science** | McGraw Hill California Inspire | 2020-21 |
| **Visual and performing arts** | --- | 2007-08 |
| **World language** | Spanish: Pearson; Heritage Spanish: McGraw Hill; Vietnamese: Tieng Viet Men Yeu; French: HMH | 2017-18 |
| **Health** | Goodheart-Wilcox | 2020-21 |

## Quality of Textbooks

The following table outlines the criteria required for choosing textbooks and instructional materials.

### Quality of Textbooks (2025-26 School Year)

| Criteria | Yes/No |
|---|---|
| **Are the textbooks adopted from the most recent state-approved or local governing-board-approved list?** | Yes |

## Public Internet Access

Individuals without home Internet access can use computers with Internet connections at public libraries within the Garden Grove Unified School District to download and view School Accountability Report Cards. Access to the Internet at libraries is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

See below for library contact information for your community.

**Orange County Public Library**
Tel: (714) 566-3000
www.ocpl.org

**Anaheim Public Library**
Tel: (714) 765-1880
www.anaheim.net/library

**Santa Ana Public Library**
Tel: (714) 647-5250
www.santa-ana.org/library

The Orange County Public Library serves the cities of Cypress, Fountain Valley, Westminster, Garden Grove and Stanton within the GGUSD.

## Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials

The following lists the percentage of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials.

### Percentage of Students Lacking Materials by Subject

| 2025-26 School Year | |
|---|---|
| **Reading/language arts** | 0% |
| **Mathematics** | 0% |
| **Science** | 0% |
| **History/social science** | 0% |
| **Visual and performing arts** | 0% |
| **Foreign language** | 0% |
| **Health** | 0% |
| **Science laboratory equipment** | 0% |

## Currency of Textbooks

This table displays the date when the most recent hearing was held to adopt a resolution on the sufficiency of instructional materials.

### Currency of Textbooks

| 2025-26 School Year | |
|---|---|
| **Data collection date** | 9/2/2025 |

## School Programs

The district receives additional funds for a number of special services and programs. Among the special programs offered at the school are the following:

- Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID)
- Extended Day and Year Programs:
  - After School Intervention Classes
  - Summer School
- Special Education
- Title I

## School Facility Good Repair Status

The table shows the results of the school's most recent inspection using the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) or equivalent school form. This inspection determines the school facility's good repair status using ratings of good condition, fair condition or poor condition. The overall summary of facility conditions uses ratings of exemplary, good, fair or poor. Additional information about the condition of the school's facilities may be obtained by speaking with the principal.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (2025-26 School Year)

| Items Inspected | Repair Status |
|---|---|
| **Systems:** Gas leaks, sewer, mechanical systems (heating, ventilation and HVAC) | Good |
| **Interior:** Interior surfaces (floors, ceilings, walls and window casings) | Good |
| **Cleanliness:** Pest/vermin control, overall cleanliness | Good |
| **Electrical:** Electrical systems | Good |
| **Restrooms/fountains:** Restrooms, sinks and drinking fountains | Good |
| **Safety:** Fire safety, emergency systems, hazardous materials | Good |
| **Structural:** Structural condition, roofs | Good |
| **External:** Windows/doors/gates/fences, playgrounds/school grounds | Good |
| **Overall summary of facility conditions** | Exemplary |
| **Date of the most recent FIT report** | 6/3/2025 |

## School Facilities

Garden Grove Unified School District (GGUSD) continues to pride itself on maintaining quality facilities to provide a safe learning and working environment for its students and staff. School custodial and district maintenance staff conduct frequent inspections to ensure that all sites are clean, safe, well maintained and in good repair. Mobile cleaning teams have been used to provide in-depth cleaning-assistance services. The Maintenance Department is in the process of implementing preventative maintenance programs that ensure all schools are maintained at an efficient operating level. All classrooms, playground areas and working space for students and staff satisfy building capacity requirements of the Education Code.

The Board of Education has adopted a five-year maintenance program for the improvement of school sites, and major site-improvement projects are approved annually as needed. Graffiti-removal personnel help to keep schools free from graffiti.

The Garden Grove Unified School District has always emphasized the importance of a clean, safe environment for learning and working. The environment of each campus is a matter of pride for students, staff and parents. To ensure our facilities are in good repair condition, custodians at each site perform monthly inspections, and the district maintenance crews attempt to complete their work orders in a timely manner. Several schools have planted individual gardens, allowed sponsored projects by both the PTA and the Eagle Scout program with the Boys Scouts of America, to enhance our campuses throughout the district.

Los Amigos High School was built in 1968. The school has 58 permanent classrooms and 21 portable classrooms in use on the campus. The school also has an administration guidance office, bookstore, boys' and girls' locker rooms, commons, food court, library-media center, Little Theatre, career center, gymnasium with dance and cheer rooms, student store, teacher workrooms, lounges, and grade-level centers.

In June 2010, GGUSD voters approved Measure A, authorizing $250 million in general obligation bonds and enabling the district to qualify for as much as $200 million in matching state school bond funds for large-scale infrastructure repair, improvement and modernization projects. As an added funding bonus, $47 million in supplemental school-improvement grants were secured, increasing the total projected Measure A budget to $503 million over the seven to eight years required for the completion of all bond-financed projects. Additionally, Measure P, authorizing $311 million was approved by voters in 2016 that enabled our district to implement many improvements including seismic upgrades, new athletic stadiums and added air conditioning and energy conservation improvements to all of the remaining Elementary Schools.

*Continued on sidebar*

## School Facilities

*Continued from left*

Bond proceeds, combined with state matching funds, are financing such wide-ranging school improvements as renovating electrical circuitry, plumbing, lighting, roofing, intercom and fire-safety systems; improving energy efficiency; replacing doors, windows, and outdated heating and ventilation systems; updating playground equipment and safety matting; upgrading telecommunications systems to accommodate current technology; replacing restroom plumbing, tile, and fixtures; retrofitting schools for better accessibility for the disabled; burying new underground conduits for technology growth; installing new classroom carpeting; repainting school interiors and exteriors; and new underground natural-gas piping.

All GGUSD sites are currently being outfitted with Solar Panels. Project completion is forecast as late spring 2026. We appreciate your patience as we work to ensure that all of our schools remain successful and comfortable places to learn and work.

## California School Dashboard

The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) https://www.caschooldashboard.org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

## Teacher Preparation and Placement

These tables display the number and percent of teacher authorization/assignment as well as the total number and percent of teaching positions at the school, district and state levels. For questions concerning the assignment of teachers outside their subject area of competence or the credential status of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

### Teacher Preparation and Placement (2021-22 School Year)

| Authorization/Assignment | School Number | School Percent | District Number | District Percent | State Number | State Percent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)** | 59.3 | 76.8% | 1,444.6 | 85.0% | 234,405.2 | 84.0% |
| **Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned** | 0.0 | 0.0% | 1.5 | 0.1% | 4,853.0 | 1.7% |
| **Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)** | 2.5 | 3.2% | 67.7 | 4.0% | 12,001.5 | 4.3% |
| **Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)** | 0.5 | 0.7% | 15.4 | 0.9% | 11,953.1 | 4.3% |
| **Unknown** | 14.8 | 19.2% | 170.3 | 10.0% | 15,831.9 | 5.7% |
| **Total Teaching Positions** | 77.2 | 100.0% | 1,699.6 | 100.0% | 279,044.8 | 100.0% |

### Teacher Preparation and Placement (2022-23 School Year)

| Authorization/Assignment | School Number | School Percent | District Number | District Percent | State Number | State Percent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)** | 66.3 | 84.1% | 1,449.0 | 84.0% | 231,142.4 | 83.2% |
| **Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned** | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 5,566.4 | 2.0% |
| **Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)** | 5.0 | 6.5% | 21.8 | 1.3% | 14,938.3 | 5.4% |
| **Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)** | 4.6 | 5.9% | 81.3 | 4.7% | 11,746.9 | 4.2% |
| **Unknown** | 2.8 | 3.6% | 172.5 | 10.0% | 14,303.8 | 5.2% |
| **Total Teaching Positions** | 78.9 | 100.0% | 1,724.7 | 100.0% | 277,697.8 | 100.0% |

### Teacher Preparation and Placement (2023-24 School Year)

| Authorization/Assignment | School Number | School Percent | District Number | District Percent | State Number | State Percent |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)** | 63.5 | 84.0% | 1,519.0 | 87.6% | 230,039.4 | 82.6% |
| **Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned** | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 6,213.8 | 2.2% |
| **Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)** | 5.7 | 7.6% | 37.2 | 2.2% | 16,855.0 | 6.0% |
| **Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)** | 1.3 | 1.8% | 61.8 | 3.6% | 12,112.8 | 4.3% |
| **Unknown** | 4.9 | 6.6% | 116.6 | 6.7% | 13,705.8 | 4.9% |
| **Total Teaching Positions** | 75.6 | 100.0% | 1,734.8 | 100.0% | 278,927.1 | 100.0% |

Note: The data in these tables is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned to based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

## Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of authorization/assignments of teachers with permits and waivers; misassignments; and vacant positions. For questions concerning the permits, waivers, and misassignment of teachers, visit the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing website at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/.

### Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (Three-Year Data)

| Authorization/Assignment | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Permits and Waiver** | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| **Misassignments** | 2.5 | 5.0 | 5.7 |
| **Vacant Positions** | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| **Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments** | 2.5 | 5.0 | 5.7 |

## Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

This table displays the number of credentialed teachers authorized on a permit or waiver and local assignment options. For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing's Administrator's Assignment Manual at https://www.ctc.ca.gov/credentials/manuals.

### Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (Three-Year Data)

| Indicator | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver** | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| **Local Assignment Options** | 0.2 | 4.0 | 1.3 |
| **Total Out-of-Field Teachers** | 0.5 | 4.6 | 1.3 |

## Class Assignments

This table displays the percentage of misassignments of English learners and teachers with no credential, permit or authorization to teach. Misassignment and vacant teacher position data should be available in the district's personnel office.

### Class Assignments (Three-Year Data)

| Indicator | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)** | 3.3% | 5.6% | 7.9% |
| **No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)** | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

The data source is the California State Assignment Accountability System (CalSAAS) provided by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing. For more information on the definitions listed above, refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

## Academic Counselors and School Support Staff

This table displays information about academic counselors and support staff at the school and their full-time equivalent (FTE).

### Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselors and School Support Staff Data

| 2024-25 School Year | |
|---|---|
| | **Ratio** |
| **Pupils to Academic counselors** | 260:1 |
| **Support Staff** | **FTE** |
| **Counselor (academic, social/behavioral or career development)** | 5.00 |
| **Library media teacher (librarian)** | 1.00 |
| **Library media services staff (paraprofessional)** | 1.00 |
| **Psychologist** | 2.00 |
| **Social worker** | 1.00 |
| **Nurse** | 1.00 |
| **Speech/language/hearing specialist** | 1.00 |
| **Resource specialist (nonteaching)** | 0.00 |

## Financial Data

The financial data displayed in this SARC is from the 2022-23 fiscal year. The most current fiscal information available provided by the state is always two years behind the current school year and one year behind most other data included in this report. For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the California Department of Education (CDE) Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data website at www.ed-data.org.

## District Financial Data

This table displays district teacher and administrative salary information and compares the figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Note: The district salary data does not include benefits.

### Salary Data (2023-24 Fiscal Year)

| | Garden Grove USD | Similar Sized District |
|---|---|---|
| **Beginning teacher salary** | $71,786 | $60,862 |
| **Midrange teacher salary** | $116,192 | $93,575 |
| **Highest teacher salary** | $140,232 | $125,548 |
| **Average elementary school principal salary** | $178,781 | $157,644 |
| **Average middle school principal salary** | $180,997 | $165,340 |
| **Average high school principal salary** | $214,938 | $182,579 |
| **Superintendent salary** | $366,936 | $357,064 |
| **Teacher salaries: percentage of budget** | 29.38% | 30.36% |
| **Administrative salaries: percentage of budget** | 3.73% | 4.88% |

## Financial Data Comparison

This table displays the school's per-pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources and the school's average teacher salary and compares it to the district and state data.

### Financial Data Comparison (2023-24 Fiscal Year)

| | Expenditures Per Pupil From Unrestricted Sources | Annual Average Teacher Salary |
|---|---|---|
| **Los Amigos HS** | $12,647 | $103,820 |
| **Garden Grove USD** | $11,535 | $114,131 |
| **California** | $11,146 | $100,333 |
| **School and district: percentage difference** | +9.6% | -9.0% |
| **School and California: percentage difference** | +13.5% | +3.5% |

## School Financial Data

The following table displays the school's average teacher salary and a breakdown of the school's expenditures per pupil from unrestricted and restricted sources.

| School Financial Data | |
|---|---|
| **2023-24 Fiscal Year** | |
| **Total expenditures per pupil** | $21,748 |
| **Expenditures per pupil from restricted sources** | $9,101 |
| **Expenditures per pupil from unrestricted sources** | $12,647 |
| **Annual average teacher salary** | $103,820 |

## Expenditures Per Pupil

Supplemental/restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. Basic/unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

Data for this year's SARC was provided by the California Department of Education and school and district offices. For additional information on California schools and districts and comparisons of the school to the district, the county and the state, please visit DataQuest at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest. DataQuest is an online resource that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners). Per Education Code Section 35256, each school district shall make hard copies of its annually updated report card available, upon request, on or before February 1.

All data accurate as of December 2025.